IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 218 OF 2004

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO. 92 OF 2004

M/S K.P. DHARWATKAR & SONS AND ANR., ...Applicants

Versus

MRS. SULATA K.CHODANKAR AND 9 ORS., ...Respondents

Miss. Shreya S. Naik, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. M. S. Sonak, Advocate for the respondents No.1 to 8.

Mr. P. A. Kholkar, Advocate for the respondents No.9 and 10.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA, J.

Date:- 22nd September, 2005

P.C.

After having heard the learned Counsel for the applicants and the learned Counsel for the respondents No.1 to 8, I am satisfied that no case for grant of interim relief is made out. The prayer for interim relief is, accordingly, rejected. However, it is made clear that in the event of the appellants/applicants succeeding in the appeal, the appellants/applicants may be entitled to the damages, if the respondents No.1 to 8 get their property developed through other agency/contractor.

The civil application stands disposed of accordingly.

R. M. LODHA, J.

ssm.